
THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THIRD EDITION

EDITOR
CHRISTOPHER MALLON

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

Chapter 7

CYPRUS

*Maria Kyriacou**

I OVERVIEW OF RECENT RESTRUCTURING AND INSOLVENCY ACTIVITY

i Liquidity and state of the financial markets and impact of specific regional or global events

The first months of 2010 have shown signs of recovery of the international real economy in many countries, but nevertheless financial stability in the international financial environment remains vulnerable.

In countries with high public debt, governments have employed novel strategies and structures to help financial institutions weather the economic crisis, but a persistent feeling of insecurity remains, coupled with a general uncertainty as to whether the international economy will make sustained progress towards financial stability in the very near future.

In the advanced economies, unlike in many other countries, there are indications of gradual recovery. By contrast, the most important emerging markets such as China and India have already shown significant increases in economic activity.

The negative consequences of the global crisis continue for Cyprus's economy, especially in the tourism, retail trade and construction sectors. The real estate sector appears to have been more stable in the first months of 2010 and tourism and wholesale and retail trade are showing tentative signs of recovery as franchises with well-known brands continue to open new outlets in Cyprus. However, in an otherwise unexciting economic environment the financial and professional services sector continues to flourish, with an increase in investment and the participation of Cyprus in most major commercial cross-border finance and investment transactions.

Nevertheless unemployment has reached 6.9 per cent, which is considered a very high rate for Cyprus.

* Maria Kyriacou is an advocate and partner at Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC.

Unlike most countries, Cyprus did not have to take any large-scale action to support its domestic banking system during the financial crisis. In line with the EU, it increased the deposit guarantee amount (to twice the required minimum) and put in place the framework for a scheme under which it would issue up to €3 billion in special government bonds to be lent to credit institutions to use as collateral to obtain liquidity from the European Central Bank and on interbank markets. However, there proved to be no need for the government to spend large amounts of money to bail out banks or underwrite their obligations, because banks in Cyprus were not large-scale holders of the sophisticated financial instruments that later proved to be toxic. Although there has been some downgrading of ratings recently, attributed to their exposure to the Greek economy, banks in Cyprus remain in a very good position, irrespective of the negative developments in the real economy.

Because the government has not needed to bail out the banks there was no further pressure on the public finances due to the economic crisis compared to the other countries of the Eurozone.

In contrast to previous years, during which the three main domestic banking groups, namely the Bank of Cyprus Public Company Limited, Marfin Popular Bank Public Company Limited and Hellenic Bank Public Company Limited, had embarked on a geographical diversification overseas, no expansion into foreign markets through mergers or acquisitions and no opening of branches or subsidiaries in new markets took place in 2009.

At this stage the degree (if any) of the impact on Cyprus of the economic turmoil in Greece cannot be predicted with any certainty. The two countries have close cultural and slightly weaker economic ties – for example, several Greek banks operate in Cyprus and *vice versa*, but these ties are by no means exclusive or all-embracing. There have been substantial inflows of capital from Greece into Cyprus seeking a safe haven as well as an increase in employment enquiries. To date this has been a positive development as it is boosting activity in Cyprus.

ii Market trends in restructuring procedures or techniques employed during this period

Restructurings are not extensively encountered in Cyprus. When they are, they are generally concluded on an informal out-of-court basis, in order to save time and avoid bureaucratic procedures.

Comparing the numbers of new companies registered on the one hand and the number of companies going into liquidation on the other may help illustrate the economic environment.

The number of new company registrations fell to 16,101 in 2009, compared with 24,453 in 2008, 29,016 in 2007 and 20,280 in 2006. New company registrations in the first six months of 2010 recovered somewhat to 9,176, but remain well below the levels achieved in 2007 and 2008.

Despite the economic slowdown, the number of compulsory liquidations continues its slightly downward trend, being only 45 in the first six months of 2010 compared to 132 in 2009, 135 in 2008, 141 in 2007 and 140 in 2006.

The number of voluntary liquidations was 380 in the first six months of 2010, compared with 550 in 2009, 284 in 2008, 200 in 2007 and 205 in 2006. With a total of

more than 230,000 companies currently on the register, this is an extremely low failure rate.

The industry that has been most affected by the credit crunch to date is construction, with six small construction companies going into liquidation in 2009. Apart from some previously overheated coastal districts, property prices remain stable at the moment but demand has dropped by 40 to 50 per cent for second homes, whereas there is still no change for primary residential homes and offices.

II GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE RESTRUCTURING AND INSOLVENCY LEGAL FRAMEWORK

i The Cyprus Companies Law – creditor-friendly

Both restructuring and winding up fall under the Companies Law, which is based on the UK Companies Act of 1948 with the necessary amendments to incorporate the relevant EU Directives. The sections referring to reconstruction and corporate insolvency, winding up voluntarily or compulsorily, registration and enforcement of charges and appointment of liquidators or receivers and managers remain basically unchanged, with the exception of the incorporation of the Third Council Directive on mergers and divisions of public companies.

The Companies Law generally favours creditors and clearly defines the collection, liquidation and distribution of proceeds to the creditors, and the remainder, if any, to the contributories.

As noted *supra*, banks in Cyprus tend to be conservative and take a ‘belt and braces’ approach to security. When lending to companies they aim to take fixed and floating charges over the company’s assets, undertaking and goodwill, together with personal guarantees from all the directors of the company. The directors’ personal guarantees are a shield against mismanagement and possible alienation of company assets.

In the event of default, the holder of a floating charge may appoint a receiver and manager to take over the affairs of the company. The receiver and manager has broad powers to allow the company to continue to trade while a buyer is sought for the business as a going concern. If a going concern sale cannot be achieved the receiver will dispose of the assets, in the best possible manner for the benefit of the chargeholder appointing him.

The holder of the floating charge ranks in priority after the administration expenses of the receiver and manager, followed by any prior mortgages, and prior fixed charges, followed by preferential creditors (government and municipal taxes and sums due to employees) that rank in priority under the law.

ii Facilitate rescue of viable business

A ‘rescue culture’ as such has not developed extensively in Cyprus, as companies in distress usually either renegotiate financing with their bankers or attempt to obtain private loans, or issue share capital to finance new projects.

Formal insolvency and restructuring procedures available for companies

The company will usually agree either to an informal arrangement with its creditors before any reorganisation occurs or before entering the formal procedures.

Under Section 198 of the Companies Law, where a compromise or arrangement is proposed between a company and its creditors or between the company and its members or any class of them, the court may, on application by the company or any creditor or member or, in the case of a company being wound up, by the liquidator, order a meeting of the creditors or of the members of the company to be summoned in such a way as the court directs. At this meeting, any compromise or arrangement passed by a majority in number representing three-quarters in value of the creditors or members present and voting will be binding on all the creditors or members and also on the company. In the case of a company being wound up, this will also be binding on the liquidator and contributories of the company.

In order to be binding, the order of the court must be delivered to the Registrar of Companies for registration and a copy of every order must be annexed to every copy of the memorandum of the company issued after the order has been made. If no memorandum exists, then a copy of every order must be attached to every copy of the instrument comprising or defining the constitution of the company.

In harmonisation with the Third Council Directive 78/855/EEC, the procedure for reorganisations of public companies through merger or division by acquisition of a company and merger or division by the formation of a new company is specifically provided for in the Companies Law. These provisions include, *inter alia*:

- a* a mechanism in which a company is wound up without going into liquidation and transfers all its assets and liabilities to another company in exchange for the issue to its shareholders of shares in the acquiring company (with or without a supplementary cash payment);
- b* the acquisition of one company by another that holds 90 per cent or more of its shares;
- c* a procedure for several companies to be wound up without going into liquidation and to transfer all their assets and liabilities to one company that they establish for the purpose in exchange for the issue to their shareholders of shares in the new company; and
- d* a corresponding procedure for one company to transfer all of its assets and liabilities to several existing companies in exchange for the allocation to its shareholders of shares in the recipient companies, with or without a cash payment.

Part V of the Companies Law contains the provisions regarding winding-up or liquidation (the two terms are used interchangeably). Winding-up may be voluntary or compulsory (also referred to as winding up by the court) or, more rarely, subject to the supervision of the court.

A company may also be wound up by the court following presentation of a petition. There are a number of circumstances in which the court may order a company to be wound up, but the most common starting point is the presentation of a petition by a creditor on the basis that the company is unable to pay its debts.

A company is deemed unable to pay its debts where a creditor to whom the company is indebted for a sum exceeding €854.30 has applied for payment and the company has neglected to pay, or if it is proved to the satisfaction of the court that the company is unable to pay its debts, taking into account the contingent and prospective liabilities of the company.

A company may be wound up voluntarily when the period fixed for the duration of the company by the articles of association of the company expires, or an event occurs, on the occurrence of which the articles of association provide that the company is to be dissolved, and the company has in a general meeting passed a resolution requiring the company to be wound up voluntarily. A company may also be wound up voluntarily if the company resolves this by special or extraordinary resolution to the effect that it cannot by reason of its liabilities continue its business, and that it is advisable to be wound up. A notice of any such resolution must be given by advertisement in the Official Gazette. Voluntary winding-up may be a members' voluntary winding-up, or a creditors' voluntary winding-up. Members' voluntary winding-up is available only to companies that are not insolvent: the directors of the company must make a statutory declaration of solvency. If the directors are unable to make such a declaration, the winding-up is a creditors' voluntary winding-up, where the liquidator appointed by the members may be replaced by a liquidator chosen by the creditors.

Finally, a company may be wound up subject to the supervision of the court, where, after having passed a resolution for voluntary winding-up, the court makes an order that the voluntary winding-up shall continue subject to such supervision of the court as the court thinks just.

Informal methods to restructure companies in financial difficulties

A company that has good long-term prospects but that faces temporary financial difficulties may seek to conclude an informal arrangement with its creditors in order to remain a 'going concern'. This could entail the renegotiation of financing usually made on more rigorous terms, or the conclusion of a sale and lease back arrangement; selling a major asset to provide liquidity and then leasing it back. Strategic investors or new business partners may also invest in new shares or loans to the company.

Other laws relevant to insolvency and restructuring

The security most commonly granted over immoveable property is the legal charge or mortgage. Such charges over immoveable property must be registered with the Department of Lands & Surveys and with the Registrar of Companies if the borrower is a company.

The security devices for moveables are the lien, the pledge and the floating charge.

A lien may be legal under common law or equitable. The common law lien is the right to retain possession of property belonging to another person until a debt has been paid. A common law lien lasts only as long as possession is retained but an equitable lien exists independent of possession.

A pledge is the bailment of goods as security for payment of a debt or performance of a promise. The lender has the power to sell in the event of default by the borrower

but the general ownership of the goods remains with the borrower. The pledge must be in writing, duly signed and witnessed by two witnesses.

A floating charge is a security interest, generally over all of the assets of a company, which 'floats' until an event of default occurs or until the company goes into liquidation, at which time the floating charge crystallises and attaches to all the relevant assets. It gives the secured creditor two key remedies in the event of default: firstly, the creditor may crystallise the charge, and then realise any assets subject to the charge as if it were a fixed charge; alternatively, if the floating charge encompasses substantially all of the assets and undertaking of the company, the charge holder may appoint a receiver to take control of the business with a view to discharging the debt out of income or selling off the entire business as a going concern.

In general, secured creditors are not affected by a winding-up order and they can realise their security outside the insolvency proceedings if the instrument by which the charge is created or evidenced is duly stamped and delivered to the Registrar of Companies for registration in the company's file.

iii Duties of directors of companies in financial difficulties

When a winding-up order is issued or a resolution for winding-up is passed the powers of the directors cease and the liquidator takes over. The directors must submit to the liquidator a statement of affairs of the company. The liquidator, in performing his duties, will examine the directors' conduct at the time when the company was carrying on business.

In a compulsory liquidation the winding-up is deemed to commence at the time of the presentation of the petition to the court and derivative action may be brought against a director for any wrongful acts.

In general, if the directors act honestly for the benefit of the company they represent, they discharge their legal duty and are not themselves liable, even in the case of their own negligent mismanagement.

Exceptions to the general immunity of the directors are the following: the directors are personally liable if they sign a document in their personal capacity and not in the company's name, or without the authority of the company; directors may be jointly liable with the company, where, for example, they personally guarantee a company loan; directors have statutory liabilities that may be enforced against them during the company's winding-up.

Statutory liabilities of directors include the following: where the director has incurred secret profits; improper payment by a director to a promoter; where a director has applied the company's assets for an *ultra vires* or illegal object; where dividends have been paid out of capital; where there has been a fraudulent preference or a sale of the company's assets at undervalue; and in certain circumstances, where directors have sold their personal property to the company at an excessive price.

Under the Companies Law, criminal offences that may be committed by a director before or in the course of winding-up include the following: if the director is bankrupt; failing to keep proper books of account throughout the period of two years immediately preceding the commencement of winding-up; failing to disclose and deliver property and books to the liquidator; concealing, destroying, mutilating or falsifying any book or

document; fraudulently altering any documents; and attempting to account for any part of the property of the company by fictitious losses or expenses.

During winding-up, the most serious statutory responsibility of the directors is in relation to fraudulent trading. The Companies Law interprets fraudulent trading very widely to protect creditors and to pierce the veil of incorporation. Fraudulent trading, in accordance with the law, can be enforced if in the course of a winding-up of a company it appears that any business of the company has been carried on with intent to defraud creditors or for any fraudulent purpose, the court may declare that any of the directors who were knowingly parties to the fraud shall be personally responsible for all or any of the debts of the company. The law covers past and present directors and *de facto* controllers of the company's business who were taking an active part in the management of the company during the period of fraudulent trading. Where a director is found liable, he cannot set off against that liability any debt owed to him by the company. Under the law, fraudulent trading is also a criminal offence as well as a civil offence. As the standard of proof for fraudulent trading is high, successful actions for fraudulent trading are rare.

Also, a director of a company in liquidation may face disqualification by the court, and may not be allowed to be appointed as a director for a specific period not exceeding five years.

iv 'Claw-back actions'

Under the Companies Law, certain transactions entered into within six months prior to the commencement of the winding-up of the company are deemed invalid.

Any conveyance, charge, including a floating charge, mortgage, delivery of goods, payment, execution or other act relating to property made or done by or against the company, to the preference of a creditor at a time when the company was unable to pay its debts, shall be deemed to be a fraudulent transaction and is invalid. Furthermore, any conveyance or assignment by a company of all its property to trustees for the benefit of its creditors is void.

The Fraudulent Transfers Avoidance Law also provides that every gift, sale, pledge, mortgage or other transfer or disposal of any moveable or immoveable property made by any person with intent to hinder or delay his creditors or any of them in recovering their debts from him shall be deemed to be fraudulent, and shall be invalid as against such creditor or creditors.

III RECENT LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS

Although the comprehensive review of Cyprus legislation on restructuring and insolvency, which many regard as overdue, remains some way off, we nevertheless have seen some welcome developments which simplify the resolution of financial disputes.

A new law was passed in July 2010 establishing a single forum for resolving financial disputes out of court following Commission Recommendation 98/257/EC of 30 March 1998 on the principles applicable to the bodies responsible for out-of-court settlement of consumer disputes. The advantage of ADR is that it offers more flexibility than going to court and can better meet the needs of both consumers and professionals.

Compared to going to court these schemes are cheaper, quicker and less bureaucratic and formal.

- a* A new amendment of the Companies Law was enacted in June 2010 harmonising the Cyprus law with EU Directive 2007/36/EU in connection with some rights of the shareholders in listed companies (equal treatment, information and disclosure, voting with electronic means and via proxy, etc.)
- b* A further amendment of the Companies Law, also enacted in June 2010, transposed EU Directive 2006/46/EU on annual accounts and on consolidated accounts of banks, financial institutions, and insurance undertakings into domestic law.
- c* An earlier amendment to the Companies Law, enacted in late 2009, introduced provisions enabling financial assistance for the purchase of shares of private companies, subject to appropriate safeguards.
- d* A new type of winding-up has been introduced in the Companies Law, in the case where an SE fails to maintain its head office and registered office in the same Member State.

New developments in case law are summarised as follows.

i Powers of directors to appeal against a winding-up order

A winding-up order had been issued against the appellant company by the district court. The company filed an appeal against this winding-up order under which the Official Receiver was appointed as liquidator.

The appeal was filed without first obtaining the authorisation, permission or consent of the Official Receiver and without providing security for costs.

The Supreme Court recognised the right of the company through its directors to an appeal against the winding-up order but ordered that they should first deposit security for costs.¹

ii Compromise between creditors and the liquidator

As liquidator of a company the Official Receiver proposed a compromise between the company and its creditors. A sufficient majority of creditors approved the compromise and the Official Receiver applied to court for the approval of the compromise under Section 198 of the Companies Law. The shareholders and the directors of the company applied to be heard in court, on grounds of natural justice. Their application was refused as the compromise was not between the company and the shareholders but between the company and its creditors. The shareholders' appeal against the decision was rejected on the same reasoning.²

iii Commercial morality

In considering whether to make a winding-up order, the court takes into account the creditors' numerical majority as well as majority in value but the latter carries greater

1 *Genemp Trading Limited v. Marfin Popular Bank Public Company Limited.*

2 *Lindos Constructions Limited (in liquidation) and others v. The Official Receiver and another.*

weight. Opposing creditors should state the reasons for their opposition, and the court will assess them and, if appropriate, take account of them. Where, however, there are special circumstances rendering a winding-up desirable, an order will be made in spite of opposition. An order will be made where the majority view is clearly erroneous or inspired by personal benefit. Where the opposition comes from creditors of a different class, such as secured creditors, the court may give more weight to the wishes of the unsecured creditors since in some cases refusal of the order will deprive them of what is virtually their only remedy. The court is invested with a wide jurisdiction in the interests of ‘commercial morality’.

iv Meaning of ‘creditor’

Regarding the meaning of creditor, in *Loukos Manufacturers Limited*, it was held that the word ‘creditor’ has a very wide meaning, and can be any person (in this case the Director of Social Insurance) as long as the amount owed can be determined.

v Powers of directors

In *Mariou Lazarou v. Antoni Koumettou and others*, it was confirmed that the powers of the directors and representatives of the company cease when a winding-up order is made, and any disposition by the company of its property made between the commencement of the winding-up and the order for winding-up is void, unless the court otherwise orders.

This provision of the law exists to prevent the officers of the company from improperly disposing or alienating assets of the company after an application for the issue of a winding-up order has been filed.

vi Court proceedings after winding-up order

Once an application for a winding-up has been filed, no legal action or proceeding can be commenced or continued against the company except by leave of the court. This aims to provide protection to the creditors and the property of the company, to ensure the equal payment of the creditors of the same class and to prevent individual creditors from gaining advantage through any proceedings. In the cases of *Andrea I Tsaggari v. Makedonias Gavrilidou and others* and *Stefanos & Andreas Cold Stores Trading Limited v. Kean Soft Drinks Company Limited*, it was held that the court with authority to grant permission for the continuance or initiation of proceedings against a company in liquidation is the court that issued the winding-up order.

IV SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS, KEY DEVELOPMENTS AND MOST ACTIVE INDUSTRIES

Over the past year we have continued to witness an increase in the number of Cyprus companies undertaking restructuring through acquisitions or takeovers with the objective of expanding their business in other sectors, increasing their markets or cutting administrative costs. Cyprus’s tax treatment of mergers and reorganisations is very favourable, and significant savings can be achieved by structuring transactions this way.

i Acquisition of Demades Bros Limited by Cyprus Import Corporation Limited

Cyprus Import Corporation, the sole general distributor in Cyprus of Mercedes-Benz cars and Smart cars, acquired Demades Bros Limited, which owns the Cyprus franchise for the Fiat, Alfa Romeo and Lancia marques. The transaction was structured as an acquisition of 100 per cent of the share capital of Demades Bros Limited by CIC Information, a subsidiary company of Cyprus Import Corporation Limited, under an agreement dated 18 December 2009.

ii Acquisition of Eurosure Insurance Company Limited by Minerva Insurance Company Public Limited

Two well-known Cyprus insurance companies joined their portfolios through a restructuring plan. Minerva Insurance Co Limited signed an agreement to acquire 3.7 million shares (a 93.75 per cent stake) in Eurosure Insurance Company Limited on 1 February 2010, with a consideration based on the net assets of Eurosure as at 31 December 2009.

iii Laiki Investments merges with CLR Capital

Two of the leading investment services providers in Cyprus completed their merger and restructuring plan during 2009. CLR Capital Public Limited agreed to a reconstruction for the purposes of a merger with Laiki Investment EPEY Public Co Limited in late 2008. The reconstruction proposal dealt with the nature of activities of the two companies, the transfer of assets and liabilities to Laiki Investment and the exchange of the consideration shares resulting from the merger, with one fully paid share of Laiki Investments being issued for every 2.6838 CLR shares. The restructuring and merger was formally completed in 2009.

iv Food industry – public offer

PHC Franchised Restaurants Public Limited, formerly PHC Franchised Restaurants Limited, is a Cyprus-based operator of restaurants. The company is primarily engaged in operating the Pizza Hut restaurants in Cyprus and Serbia, KFC and Everest fast food restaurant, and a number of coffee houses. The company also operates the Wagamama chain of restaurants in Greece and Cyprus. Through its restaurants, it offers takeaway and food delivery services.

Bestgrowth Limited and others have submitted a voluntary public offer to the shareholders of PHC Franchised Restaurants Public Limited for the acquisition of up to 100 per cent of the issued share capital of the company.

The offerors now hold more than 50 per cent of the voting rights. If the offerors hold more than 90 per cent of the issued share capital of the company after completion of the public offer, they intend to exercise a squeeze-out of the remaining shareholders.

V INTERNATIONAL

Since the accession of Cyprus to the EU on 1 May 2004, EU Regulation No. 1346/2000, on cross-border insolvency proceedings, has been in force, thus providing the possibility of opening secondary local insolvency proceedings in another Member State where the debtor has an establishment or assets.

Various attempts at international cooperation on cross-border insolvency procedures have established that a foreign judgment cannot affect the insolvency provisions of another state.

Under the Judgments of Foreign Courts (Recognition, Registration and Execution by Treaty) Law, Law 121(I) of 2000, a foreign judgment may be recognised and enforced in Cyprus where there is a binding bilateral treaty between Cyprus and the country in which the judgment was delivered or where Cyprus is bound by any multilateral convention to which it is a signatory.

EU regulations and bilateral agreements are used as tools for cooperation and a foreign judgment cannot substitute automatically for a national court. An application for registration of a foreign judgment may be made *ex parte*, accompanied by an affidavit in support, which should exhibit a certified copy of the judgment (authenticated by its seal) and a duly certified Greek translation of the judgment. The judgment creditor may choose to have the judgment registered either in the district court where the debtor resides or where any property to which the judgment relates is situated.

In general Cyprus courts will enforce a foreign judgment provided, *inter alia*, that:

- a* the judgment has been given by a court that has jurisdiction in accordance with Cyprus rules on the conflict of laws;
- b* the judgment has not been obtained by fraud; and
- c* the proceedings that led to its issue were not contrary to natural justice.

A judgment of a foreign court obtained by fraud, either on the part of the court or on the part of the party seeking to enforce it, will not be recognised.

The foreign court proceedings must conform to the foreign procedural law and in any event must respect the basic procedural principles of due process as reflected in Cyprus law.

Legislation based on the UNCITRAL Model Law on cross-border insolvency has not yet been adopted in Cyprus.

VI FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

During the 50 years since Cyprus became independent the insolvency provisions of the Companies Law have proved adequate for the needs of the business community. This is, at least, partly due to Cyprus's largely uninterrupted economic prosperity during the period. However, a consensus is now beginning to emerge on the desirability of a more modern insolvency regime that fosters enterprise by promoting a rescue culture.

A series of amendments to the Bankruptcy Law, which is closely modelled on the corresponding UK legislation of the early 20th century, is now under discussion at the relevant committee in Parliament. This is likely to be the precursor to a review and modernisation of the law as it relates to insolvent companies.

MARIA KYRIACOU

Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC

Maria Kyriacou is a partner and head of the Nicosia office of Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC. She is a barrister-at-law of the Inns of Court (Middle Temple) London, 1971, and was admitted to the Cyprus Bar in 1974.

Ms Kyriacou served as the Cyprus Registrar of Companies and Official Receiver, Registrar of Patents, Trademarks and Copyright between 1989 and 2001 and oversaw the successful harmonisation of Cyprus company and intellectual property law with the *acquis communautaire*, taking part in negotiations with the European Union.

As Official Receiver Ms Kyriacou has conducted and supervised major liquidations, including investigation, identification, and tracing of assets in major winding-up cases (construction, banking, mining and insurance) and has successfully claimed back alienated assets in several cases.

Ms Kyriacou has written numerous articles and papers and lectured in Cyprus and abroad on a wide spectrum of legal, social and political matters, in particular topics relating to the economy, companies, insolvency, trademarks, patents and copyright.

Ms Kyriacou has been a member of the Cyprus Parliament since 2001. She is Secretary of the House and Deputy Chairperson of the House Standing Committee on Trade, Industry and Tourism, a member of the House Standing Committees on Financial and Budgetary Affairs, a member of the Agricultural Committee and the coordinator of the Labour and Social Insurance Committee in the House for the Democratic Rally Party.

ANDREAS NEOCLEOUS & CO LLC

Neocleous House

195 Archbishop Makarios III Avenue

PO Box 50613

Limassol, 3608

Cyprus

Tel: +357 22 110000

Fax: +357 22 110001

info@neocleous.com

www.neocleous.com